

76. The glass of claim 30, wherein the glass has a liquidus viscosity greater than about 800,000 poises, a density less than about 2.40 gram/cm³, and exhibits a weight loss of less than 0.5 mg/cm² after immersion in a solution of 1 part 50 wt.% HF and 10 parts 40 wt.% NH₄F for 5 minutes at 30°C.

77. The flat panel display device of claim 41, wherein the substrate has an average surface roughness less than about 0.5 nm without polishing.

78. The flat panel display device of claim 41, wherein the substrate has an average surface roughness less than about 0.5 nm and an average internal stress less than about 150 psi.

79. In a flat panel display device, the improvement comprising a substrate comprising the glass of Claim 65 wherein the substrate has an average surface roughness less than about 0.5 nm.

80. In a flat panel display device, the improvement comprising a substrate comprising the glass of Claim 66 wherein the substrate has an average surface roughness less than about 0.5 nm.

81. In a flat panel display device, the improvement comprising a substrate comprising the glass of Claim 67 wherein the substrate has an average surface roughness less than about 0.5 nm.

REMARKS

The above dependent claims are being added to this application to further define applicants' invention and to provide additional coverage of varying scope for their invention. Support for the numerical limitations of these claims can be found throughout applicants' specification and in the dependent claims already pending in this application. The limitation of Claims 60 and 77 which calls for a surface roughness of less than 0.5 nm "without polishing" is based on page 1, lines 19-27, of the specification.

Examination of these claims in connection with the Request for Continued Examination filed on November 12, 2002 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/25/02

Maurice M. Klee

Maurice M. Klee, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 30,399

Attorney for Applicant

1951 Burr Street

Fairfield, CT 06824

(203) 255-1400